

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25221-2015

Decided on : 31.07.2015

Sukhpal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present : Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Bhullar, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (Oral)

Heard. Notice of motion.

State counsel, who is present in Court, accepts notice
on behalf of respondents No1 to 4.

Petitioners, Sukhpal and Rajvir Kaur, have moved this
Court seeking protection on the ground that they have married on
29.07.2015 against the wishes of their parents and relatives.

Counsel for the petitioners has stated that the
petitioners had moved a representation (Annexure P-7) before the
Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to seek protection, but no
action has been taken by the police.

Though copy of the passport was filed as Annexure P-1
for the proof of date of birth of petitioner No.1 Sukhpal, however,
original document could not be produced in the Court. When
asked for it, it was stated by the counsel for the said petitioner that

the passport stood submitted before filing of the petition for obtaining Visa. He had filed a copy of the same, but before attesting the same he did not see the original passport. He tendered his apology for that. Today, petitioner No.1 Sukhpal has shown original Aadhar Card, where his date of birth is mentioned as 18.09.1984.

In this view of the matter, the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, is directed to look into the representation (Annexure P-7) and if there is any substance in it, may proceed further, in accordance with law.

The present order, however, shall not be deemed to be proof qua the factum/validity of any matrimonial alliance of the petitioners.

JULY 31, 2015

Naveen

**(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE**