

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-2516 of 2015
Date of decision : 19.03.2015**

Shahdab & Anr.

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for petitioners.**

Mr. Deepak G. Grewal, DAG Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Heard.

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in case FIR No.883 dated 22.11.2013 registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat under Section 395 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are neither named in the FIR nor any test identification parade was carried out. He further submits that the petitioners have been implicated in this case on the basis of disclosure statement made in some other case pertaining to Uttar Pradesh. He further contends that the petitioners are in custody since October, 2014.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that the petitioners are involved in seven other cases also. According to him, the trial has started and there is every apprehension that they would pressurize the complainant, if released on bail.

The case was registered by complainant Deepika on the allegations that on 22.11.2013 five unidentified persons forcibly entered her house and looted cash and jewellery on gun point. The petitioners were

were arrested in some other case and they disclosed their involvement in this case. Pursuant to the disclosure statements, recovery of cash was effected from them. The crime was committed in broad day light. Charge against the petitioners has been framed and trial has commenced. Considering the antecedents of the petitioners and as there are apprehensions of influencing the trial, the petition is dismissed at this stage.

March 19, 2015
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE