

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-2515 of 2015

Date of Decision: 24.09.2015.

Sattifan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. SPS Tinna, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.86, dated 28.05.2014, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City-I, Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka,.

Prosecution story, in brief, is that the petitioner and his co-accused took money from different persons for sending them abroad. However, the said persons were neither sent abroad nor the money given by them to the petitioner and his co-accused was returned to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been falsely involved in this case.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by Assistant Sub Inspector Malkiat Singh has submitted that in fact petitioner has been described as Lakhwinder Singh in the FIR. The petitioner was also known as Lakhwinder Singh. He has further submitted that the identity card of the petitioner, Annexure P-1, was not a genuine document.

Learned State counsel has further submitted that although petitioner has joined investigation but recovery of the amount in question is yet to be effected. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary.

Since, in the present case, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary and keeping in view the seriousness of allegations levelled against the petitioner, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

September 24, 2015*Ankur***(SABINA)
JUDGE**