

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25214-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 14, 2015

Gurpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Ms.Geeta Sharma, Advocate for
Ms.Paramjit Kaur Deol, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.
Mr.A.K.Sinha, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 to 6.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel states that she has the instructions to withdraw the present petitioner to enable the petitioner to approach the learned Area Judicial Magistrate for redressal of her grievance in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection with the above prayer.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

September 14, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE