

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-25179 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.04.2015**

Sandeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for quashing Criminal Complaint No.208/1/12 dated 16.04.2007 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC and the subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

As per the statement of the complainant made before the trial Court she stated that she had agreed to start residing at Batala with her husband but full amount of maintenance was not paid nor jewellery was returned. The complainant also made a statement before the Appellate Court where appeal against conviction is pending that she would reside with her husband subject to the fulfilment of condition as stated by her. Therefore, there is no scope

of indulgence of this Court for quashing of the complaint on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that matter can be resolved by referring to the Mediation Centre. For that purpose, the petitioners would be at liberty to make appropriate application before the Appellate Court.

The instant petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to seek appropriate remedy, in case the parties settle the dispute ultimately.

**(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge**

27.04.2015
sk