

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27873 of 2013

Date of decision: October 12, 2015

Daulat Ram and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Robin Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab for respondent No. 1.
Mr. B.S. Sudan, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No. 1 has since died. Therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous qua petitioner No. 1.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition to enable petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 to challenge the order of framing of charges by way of criminal revision petition before the Court of Session in the first instance.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the above prayer.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with the liberty aforesaid and with a direction that in the event of filing of the criminal revision petition by petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 within three weeks of passing of this order along with an application under Section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay in filing the revision petition then the said application shall be considered sympathetically.

October 12, 2015

Poonam (II)

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**