

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-25062 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.08.2015.

Jaswinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ranbir Singh Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

This is the second petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.87 dated 13.08.2013, under Section 15 of the NDPS Act and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Odhan, District Sirsa.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

The first petition i.e. CRM No.M-5265 of 2014 has been preferred by the petitioner, namely, Jaswinder Singh before this Court and the same was rejected on 21.02.2014 in the following terms:

“This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.87 dated 13.08.2013 under Section 15 of the NDPS Act and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Odhan, District Sirsa.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

As per prosecution version, a Xylo vehicle was intercepted on 13.08.2013 in which three persons were travelling. Two persons are stated to have succeeded in running away and one person was apprehended on the spot

who disclosed his name as Roshan Singh S/o Harchand Singh. Recovery of 300 kilograms poppy husk was recovered from the vehicle. From the personal search of Roshan Singh, three live cartridges of .12 bore were recovered from the internal pocket of his shirt and such Roshan Singh has suffered a disclosure statement that such cartridges were given to him by the present petitioner namely Jaswinder Singh and who had managed to escape from the vehicle along with pistol.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from SI Kashmiri Lal would also apprise the Court that the investigation in the case is complete and the challan already stands presented before the trial Court.

In the light of such serious allegations raised against the petitioner, I find no infirmity in the order dated 06.02.2014 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa declining the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

No merit. Dismissed.”

During the course of arguments today, no fresh ground for accepting the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail has been made out.

Rather the conduct of the petitioner is deplorable. He has been evading the process of law since the time of the registration of the FIR on 13.08.2013.

Petition is dismissed.

03.08.2015

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**