

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25047 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.09.2015

Pala Ram @ Leela and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. S.S. Kharb, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

CRM No.29018 of 2015

Application is allowed and the copy of the order dated 29.06.2015 passed by the Sessions Court declining prayer for the regular bail (Annexure P-2) is taken on record.

CRM-M-25047 of 2015

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioners for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.150 dated 05.05.2015 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471,120-B IPC at Police Station City Kaithal.

The property in question was owned by father and real uncle of the petitioners, who died about 15 and 30 years ago respectively. It is contended that the present dispute relates to the sale deeds of the year 2006 executed by the petitioners in respect of the disputed property.

Learned State counsel submits on instructions from ASI Ram Niwas that the complainant was in possession of the disputed property though he is not recorded as owner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the complainant is the property dealer and the sale deed of the year 2006 was executed through the said dealer i.e. complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that it is not a case of forgery of the sale deed but the sale deed has been executed in respect of the land which was not entered in the name of the petitioners though learned counsel submits that after the death of their father and uncle, the petitioners had inherited the property.

The petitioners are in custody from 08.06.2015 and it will take long time in conclusion of the trial. The challan was filed on 17.06.2015.

In view of the above and without commenting on merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioners be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Allowed in the above terms.

(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE

September 14, 2015.
Dinesh