

221

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.25062 of 2014  
Date of Decision: 30.07.2015

HARPAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer for the grant of protection qua his life, liberty and property not to be invaded at the instance of respondent No.5. Learned counsel states that brother of the petitioner was killed and as a result of FIR No.154 dated 05.07.2014 was registered under Sections 302, 307, 324, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC and under Sections 25, 27, 54 of the Arms Act in Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar. One of the accused namely Sulakhan Singh s/o Nirvair Singh is close relative of respondent No.5. The apprehension was shown in respect of fair investigation of the case as respondent No.5 was repeatedly interfering. Now the

aforesaid criminal case has reached to the stage of prosecution evidence. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not press for prayer of fair investigation at the stage.

Grouse of the petitioner is that life, liberty and property of the petitioner are at stake in view of nature of litigation which is being fought between the parties. Precisely, on this grievance, petitioner has already moved complaint/representation dated 15.07.2014 (Annexure P3) before the Director General of Police, Punjab.

At this stage, it is directed that Director General of Police, Punjab either himself or through some delegatee get the representation/grouse looked into. In case some substance is found in the representation of the petitioner, then suitable action be taken in accordance with law.

Let the needful be done preferably within a period of 4 weeks on receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

July 30, 2015  
SwarnjitS