

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-No.24968 of 2015
Date of decision: August 28, 2015

Amandeep Batish

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Tajender Pal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harjot Singh Bedi, Advocate for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 62, dated 19.06.2015 (Annexure P-1), for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, registered at Police Station, City Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

This Court, vide order dated 14.08.2015, has granted interim bail to the petitioner only to facilitate the compromise between the parties and by the same order, it was made clear that in case the compromise is not arrived at between the parties, the present petition will be heard on merits.

On 19.08.2015, this Court has passed the following order:

“Learned counsel for the parties submit that the parties have decided to resolve the matter in principle. However, the written compromise is yet to be arrived at and they pray that the matter may be referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for settlement.

On request of learned counsel for the parties, who have been duly instructed by the parties present in the Court, the matter is referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court where the parties are directed to appear on 21.8.2015.

List on 28.8.2015.

Meanwhile, interim order to continue”

Pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 19.08.2015 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that the parties have entered into a compromise on 21.08.2015 before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. A report accompanied by contents of terms and conditions has forwarded by the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, which is part of the record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI-Jaspal Singh, submits that pursuant to the order dated 14.08.2015, petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that since the parties have entered into a compromise his further custodial interrogation is not required at this stage.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that petitioner be bound down to avoid the terms and conditions, as held in the

compromise between the parties and in case the petitioner deviates from the aforesaid terms and conditions entered between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, complainant be allowed to seek cancelation of his anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner does not have any objection to this argument, raised on behalf of learned counsel for the complainant.

In view of the above, order dated 14.08.2015 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

However, petitioners shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

August 28, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE