

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24959-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 10.08.2015

Charanjit Kaur @ Dolly

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana  
assisted by ASI Pawan Kumar.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.75 dated 06.03.2015, registered under Sections 328, 379, 307 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Farakpur, Distt. Yamuna Nagar.

The learned counsel contends that the petitioner is a victim at the hands of the complainant. In fact, the petitioner went to the office of the complainant, who is a property dealer, as she was interested in purchase of a small dwelling unit. The complainant tried to outrage the modesty of the petitioner and her minor daughter, however, to safeguard the honour of her daughter, the petitioner did not

file any complaint. The MLR was carried out at a private hospital. The petitioner was stated to be unconscious. No injuries are noticed in the history recorded by the treating doctor (Annexure P-2).

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that it is a case of suspected poisoning, which is clearly reflected in the FIR as well as in the history of the patient dated 24.02.2015 (Annexure P-2) recorded immediately after the occurrence.]

Heard.

As per Annexure P-2, the history recorded by the treating doctor, no injury was noticed on the person of the complainant. As per the history of the patient, the complainant was in an unconscious state. There is no witness to the occurrence, therefore, it is not clear as to who had given the history to the doctor with regard to administering of certain poison lased edibles to the complainant by unknown ladies. The petitioner is in custody since 09.05.2015. The challan has already been presented. The trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

**10.08.2015**  
*atulsethi*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

ATUL SETHI  
2015.08.10 16:30  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document  
Chandigarh