

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 24957 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.04.2015**

Baljinder Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, for the respondent.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is similar to the co-accused. His bail was rejected by the Court below on the ground that he was the main accused, i.e. he had driven the truck which was forcibly snatched from the complainant. It was observed in the impugned order that the other accused were on bail.

In view of the allegations made in the FIR, the role of the petitioner was similar to one of the other accused. They all acted in furtherance of their common object and if one of them drove away the truck which in any case one of them had to drive, it would not mean that his crime was bigger than the others.

The petitioner is in custody since 06.03.2014. Trial is still going on. Since other accused are on bail, it would not be in the interest of justice to deny that relief to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

07.04.2015
Ramesh