

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-24863-2015
Date of decision: 20.8.2015

Sh. Atma Nand Jain Sabha ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Petitioner preferred this petition under section 482 Cr.P.C. praying that a direction be issued to respondents to take cognizance of his complaint dated 08.05.2015 (Annexure P-1).

Mr. Navjot Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite representation Annexure P-1 submitted by petitioner to Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, no cognizance thereof has been taken. According to him, an FIR ought to have been registered against the accused. He has relied upon judgment in ***Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of U.P. and others, 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.***

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

Complainant Ajay Jain submitted representation Annexure P-1 alleging that accused in connivance with each other, had forged certain papers and forwarded case of pension of one Ashok Kumar Joshi

to D.P.I. Secondary School, Mohali. This is despite the fact that said person was dismissed from service and there was no order of retirement. Thus, a cognizable offence has been committed, necessitating registration of FIR.

I am not inclined to interfere in inherent jurisdiction of this court. A perusal of the averments made in the petition shows that there are number of disputes between members of Managing Committee of a school running at Malerkotla. It appears that number of disputes are pending in the shape of civil suits and criminal complaints. Though there can be no dispute with the ratio of *Lalita Kumari's case* (supra), inherent jurisdiction of this court cannot be invoked for settling personal scores. Besides, counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show any element of criminality involved in the complaint. The petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

20.8.2015
'Rajpal'