

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-24810 of 2015
Date of Decision:-10.9.2015**

Rajbir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 20.8.2015, explanation of Shri R.P. Goyal, Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon has been received.

For the reasons stated in the communication dated 26.8.2015, the explanation is found to be satisfactory and, therefore, accepted. However, he is advised to remain careful in future while passing the orders in future.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon has not decided the anticipatory bail

application on merits, it is submitted that let the Additional Sessions Judge be directed to consider and decide the bail application on merits. He prays for withdrawal of the present petition, however, with liberty to file fresh anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. before the Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

If anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is filed within a week, the same would be decided within 15 days thereafter.

September 10, 2015
Vijay Asija

(**HARI PAL VERMA**)
JUDGE