

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRM No. M-24795 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.07.2015.

Seema @ Seema Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. N.S. Diwana, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C questioning the validity of an order dated 25.05.2015 passed by the trial Court at Annexure P-15. Further prayer is for directing respondent No.6 to present the supplementary challan against accused Sanjiv Kumar @ Bobby under Section 3/4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act, 1989.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length and the case paper book has been perused.

A perusal of the impugned order dated 25.05.2015 at Annexure P-15 would reveal that such order has been passed on an application dated 07.01.2015 moved by the complainant Seema wherein the prayer was for summoning the report of the prosecution with regard to submission of the supplementary challan under Section 3/4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act, 1989. The impugned order itself would clarify that the report in question had been called for and had already been received by the Court on 05.02.2015. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 25.05.2015 has been passed by observing that the application stands

satisfied in as much as the prayer made in the application already stands allowed whereby the report has been placed on record.

As such, this Court does not find any infirmity in the impugned order dated 25.05.2015 (Annexure P-15).

Insofar as the prayer raised in the petition for directing respondent No.6 i.e. the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Circle Fatehgarh Sahib, District Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab to present the supplementary challan against accused Sanjiv Kumar @ Bobby under Section 3/4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act, 1989, it would be open for the petitioner to take recourse of any other alternative remedy as may be available strictly in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of.

30.07.2015

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**