

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-24851 of 2014
Date of Decision: 05.12.2015

Pawan Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. P.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. M. K. Singla, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for quashing of FIR No.121 dated 21.6.2014 under Sections 420/465/467/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City South Moga, District Moga.

Learned counsel for the complainant-respondent no.2 submits that during the pendency of the present petition, charges

have been framed against the petitioner and now, the petitioner has remedy to file a revision petition against the said order of framing of charges.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition and to challenge the order of framing of charges, however, he seeks liberty that the complainant be directed not to raise any objection with regard to limitation in case revision petition is filed at this stage.

Considering the aforesaid plea raised on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition and file a revision petition against the order of framing of charges in accordance with law. In case the petitioner files the revision petition within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, the respondent-complainant shall not raise any objection with regard to limitation.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

December 05, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE