

FAO-M-67-1999

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-M-67-1999

Date of Decision: 23.12.2015

RAMESH KUMAR

.....Appellant

Vs

TARAPATI

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

Present: None for the parties.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.(Oral)

The appeal was filed in the year 1999 to challenge judgment-decree dated 21.11.1998 dismissing the petition for grant of a decree of divorce.

Notice of motion was issued on 06.07.2000.

An order was thereafter passed on 03.10.2000 which reads as follows: -

“ Office has reported as under: -

“The learned counsel for the appellant has not filed the process fee, so notice could not be issued to respondent for 3.10.2000”

Counsel for the appellant is directed to do the needful.

List after service is complete.

Inform the learned counsel for the parties.”

The matter was thereafter placed before the Lok

Adalat where the following order was passed on 20.04.2002: -

“In response to notice issued to the parties, counsel for the appellant-Sh. Ashwani Verma, Advocate, had written a letter dated 12.04.2002 that

FAO-M-67-1999

the parties have arrived at a settlement and, therefore, the case be listed before the Hon'ble High Court."

The appellant has not taken any steps to serve the respondent. Consequently, we have no option to dismiss the appeal for non prosecution.

[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE

[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE

December 23, 2015

Suresh Kumar