

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

-.-

CRM-M- 24807 of 2014

Date of Decision: 05.01.2015

Sushila and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: None for the petitioners

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

No one is present on behalf of the petitioners. The situation was no different on the last date of hearing.

Perusal of the records reveals that the petitioners preferred the instant petition to seek protection of their lives and liberty on the premise that petitioner No. 1 has contracted marriage with petitioner No. 2 against the wishes of her parental family members. Counsel for the petitioners failed to file process fee for service of the respondents since July 24, 2014. There is also no representation on behalf of the petitioners on the previous date as well as today. It appears that the petitioners' threat perception no longer subsists and they are not interested to pursue their remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

05.01.2015

mohan