

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-24636 of 2015

Date of Decision: 02.11.2015

Rajinder Pal Singh Sandhu & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. PBS Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Dinesh Trehan, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing complaint No.125 dated 29.10.2014 titled as 'Suba Chand Versus Gurpreet Singh and others' under Sections 295-A/342/323/324/506/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe

(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 pending in the Court of Ms. Etu Sodhi, JMIC, Batala, Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to order dated 29.7.2015 passed by this Court, the petitioners have appeared before the trial Court and they have been admitted on bail, on furnishing of requisite bail bonds/surety bonds.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

Considering the fact that the petitioners have appeared before the trial Court and they have been granted bail on furnishing of their bail bonds/surety bonds in the private complaint filed by the complainant, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 29.7.2015 is made absolute.

However, it is made clear that the observations made here-in-above shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case. The trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of evidence available on record.

November 02, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE