

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-24585 of 2015

Date of Decision: 06.10.2015

Mangal Kumar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. A.P.S. Tung, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of a direction to respondents No.3 and 4 for registration of FIR against respondents No.5 to 9 as an amount of ₹ 12,50,000/- has been obtained by the said respondents on the pretext of sending the petitioners abroad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a detailed representation was sent to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, which is annexed as Annexure P-1 with the petition, but still no action has been taken thereupon so far in spite of the fact that the cognizable offence is made out. Thereafter also, two more representations (Anenxures P-2 and P-3) were made, which are still pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners

would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to respondent No.3 to take action on the said representations within a specified period.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representations, which are annexed as Annexures P-1 to P-3 and take action thereupon in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

06.10.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE