

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CrI. Misc. No.M- 24604 of 2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: January 5, 2015.

Kamaljeet Kaur PETITIONER(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another RESPONDENT (s)

2. CrI. Misc. No. M- 25255 of 2014(O&M).

Harnek Singh PETITIONER(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. RKS Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S.Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

LISA GILL, J.

This order shall dispose of Crl.Misc. No.M-24604 of 2014 (Kamaljeet Kaur v. State of Punjab and another) and Crl.Misc. No.M-25255 of 2014 (Harnek Singh v. State of Punjab and another).

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail in FIR No.51 dated 03.06.2014, under Sections 468/471/406/120B IPC, registered at police station Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur.

It is contended that the dispute in question is between family members. Petitioner – Kamaljeet Kaur is sought to be implicated due to strained relations between the complainant – Jasmail Kaur and her husband – Harnek Singh i.e., the petitioner in Crl.Misc. No.M-25255 of 2014. Kamaljeet Kaur is averred to be the daughter of Jasmail Kaur and Harnek Singh.

Petitioners are stated to have joined investigation pursuant to interim orders dated 23.07.2014 and 28.07.2014. No useful purpose would be served by taking them in custody.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Jaspal Singh, confirms and verifies that the petitioners have indeed joined investigation and they are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

This matter was adjourned on 13.11.2014 on the request of learned counsel for the complainant. Today, none has put in appearance on her behalf.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioners are no longer required for custodial interrogation and dispute is inter-se the family members but without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, it is considered just and expedient to allow both the petitions.

Consequently, interim orders dated 23.07.2014 in CRM No.M-24604 of 2014 and 28.07.2014 in CRM No.M-25255 of 2014 are made absolute.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

January 5, 2015.