

FAO-M-68-1998

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-M-68-1998

Date of Decision: 23.12.2015

HARJINDER SINGH

.....Appellant

Vs

SUKHJIT KAUR

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

Present: Mr. Kamaljit Singh, Senior Advocate,
for Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.(Oral)

We have heard counsel for the appellant and as per an order passed by the Lok Adalat, the respondent's father made a statement that the respondent has got remarried and has settled in Ludhiana. The appellant was, therefore, required to furnish the respondent's correct address.

As the appellant has not furnished the respondent's correct address for more than a decade, the appeal is dismissed for non prosecution with liberty to seek revival, if the need so arises.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

**[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE**

December 23, 2015

Suresh Kumar