

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(207)

CRM-M-24314-2015

Decided on: September 21, 2015.

Dharamvir Singh Dahiya

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshsi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in a case registered at the instance of Surender Bhatia alleging that the petitioner in the capacity as Executive Engineer had sanctioned the bills of Rs.2,51,070/- on the basis of inspection conducted at Brahma Kumari Ashram Wali street. The report submitted by him is alleged to be wrong.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Application for pre-arrest bail filed by co-accused of the petitioner, the contractor, has been allowed.

It is an admitted fact that though the bill for above said amount has been sanctioned but no payment has been released to the contractor. Petitioner having joined investigation, it does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation.

Petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of petitioner, he will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject

to the conditions that the petitioner will join investigation as and when required and will not tamper with the evidence or hamper investigation in any manner.

Nothing said in this order will prejudice departmental proceedings initiated against the petitioner, if any.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 21, 2015
harsha