

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-24282 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 6, 2015

Chiranjil Lal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-.-

Present:- Mr.Gurvinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-.-

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is not satisfied with the security proceedings launched against the private respondents but insists for lodging of FIR and investigation alleging that respondents No.4 and 5 had destroyed the hut of the petitioner and misbehaved with his wife on November 28, 2013.

I have considered the representation of the petitioner addressed to the Chief Minister, annexure P-2 and I am of the opinion that there is a reference to the civil suit pending between the parties in which status quo order has been passed.

The petitioner seems to have not filed any complaint to the SP concerned as per the provisions of Section 154 (3) Cr.P.C. Even otherwise, prima facie I do not find commission of any cognizable offence on the basis of the complaint annexure P-2. No ground is made out for issuing any directions after a gap of two years. It will be open to the petitioner to adopt any legal proceedings to enforce his rights.

Dismissed.

October 6, 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE