

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-242 of 2015.

Decided on: 13.1.2015.

Ashutosh @ Beenu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. C. PURI

Present : Mr. B.S. Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. AG, Haryana.

K.C.PURI.J.(ORAL)

Ashutosh @ Beenu son of Ravinder has applied for grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR N. 160 dated 2.5.2013 under Section 302 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR as well as statement of other alleged eye witnesses. They have named Renu and Yogesh as the persons who have inflicted injury on the person of deceased Vishal. Even in the supplementary statement of the complainant, name of the petitioner does not figure and the petitioner has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C which order has already been challenged so, prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail.

The above said factual position has not been disputed.

Name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR. Even the eye witnesses

have not named him as the assailant. He has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

So, without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that it is a fit case to allow concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Consequently, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of Committing Court/trial Court.

13.1.2015.
SN

(K.C.PURI)
JUDGE