

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 241 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision: January 7, 2015.

Lavita Saini and another

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondent No.4 and other relatives because they are stated to have contracted marriage between themselves on 08.12.2014 against the wishes of the said respondent. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 15.02.1982 and 09.02.1992, respectively. True copies of Matriculation Examination certificates of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P2 and P3. Photographs of the marriage and a copy of

the Marriage Certificate are also placed on record as Annexures P4 and P5. Petitioner No.1 is stated to have submitted a representation dated 10.12.2014 (Annexure P6) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. K.S.Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot is directed to decide the representation dated 10.12.2014 submitted by petitioner No.1 and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

January 7, 2015.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE