

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-23991 of 2015

Date of decision:31.07.2015

Manju

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Navita Singh, J. (Oral)

This petition has been moved by Manju under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail in case FIR No.216 dated 8.5.2014 registered under Sections 498-A, 406 and 304-B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak.

The deceased, namely, Meenakshi was daughter-in-law of the petitioner who along with her husband Krishan Lal and son Pankaj is facing trial in a case by way of FIR No.216 dated 8.5.2014. Krishan Lal, father-in-law was granted bail on 13.7.2015.

It is submitted that the date of custody of Krishan Lal in the said order was wrongly mentioned as 8.5.2015 though it should have been 8.5.2014.

The present petitioner is also in custody since the same date and she is similarly placed as the co-accused Krishan Lal. The reasons for which bail was granted to Krishan Lal, apply here as well. The main allegations were against the husband of Meenakshi.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

31.07.2015
renu

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE