

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-26789 of 2013

Date of decision : 09.02.2015

Tej Kaur & anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab & another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

Quashing of the FIR No.33 dated 23.06.2011 under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar (Rural) (Annexure P-1), is being sought.

The FIR was registered on a statement made by Kashmir Kaur-respondent No.2/complainant against Raj Kumar, Tej Kaur and Des Raj that after marriage of the complainant with Raj Kumar i.e. son of the petitioners, a daughter was born. The husband of the complainant namely Raj Kumar was an intoxicant and he went to Italy and he came back after 2 ½ years and started ill-treated the complainant and in this background FIR was got registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been compromised by the parties.

The statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Kashmir Kaur has been recorded on 05.02.2015 in CRM-M-38834 of 2014 that with

the intervention of the respectables, she has compromised the matter with all the accused persons and she has no objection if the present FIR against the accused persons is quashed. Statement of the petitioners has also been recorded to the same effect.

Consequently, in view of the statements given by the parties in CRM-M-38834 of 2014, FIR No.33 dated 23.06.2011 under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar (Rural) (Annexure P-1), is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of qua petitioners.

09.02.2015
Vinay

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE