

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.23959 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.09.2015

Rama Sehgal

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S.Momi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Anupam Gupta, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.6 and 7.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The husband-Ajay Kumar Sehgal of the petitioner-Rama Sehgal is stated to have committed suicide on 02.07.2014. A suicide note is alleged to have been found wherein Mr. A.K.Gupta and Mr. J.K.Gupta (respondent Nos.6 and 7 respectively), higher ranking officials of the Bank, where the deceased was employed, were alleged as the persons responsible for his death due to the humiliation and demoralizing, caused upon the deceased. He committed suicide by jumping himself on the railway track in front of a running train. An FIR No.21 dated 03.07.2014, under Section 306, IPC came out to be registered at Police Station GRP, Chandigarh.

The wife of the deceased approached this Court after one year of lodging of the FIR with the prayer for a direction to hand over the investigation to some independent Agency i.e. C.B.I./Crime Branch etc. by alleging that proper investigation had not been conducted by the police.

Upon notice, reply has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, which is taken on record.

A perusal of the same reveals that on 17.07.2014, a Special Investigating Team under the supervision of the ACP, Kalka was constituted to investigate the crime and after completion of the investigation, a challan has been submitted on 19.09.2015 before the learned trial Court wherein the aforesaid two respondents i.e. respondent Nos.6 and 7 (herein) have been arrayed as accused for the said offence.

Since the primary grievance of the petitioner stands redressed, this Court is of the opinion that no further orders are required to be passed in this case.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

The petitioner, if still dissatisfied, is free to avail her remedy, if so advised, in accordance with law.

September 29, 2015

Gagan

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**