

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23865-2014

Decided on : 27.07.2015

Hargobind @ Sonu

... Petitioner

Versus

Rosy and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present : Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for
Ms. Sikha Jaidka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (Oral)

It is rightly pointed out by the counsel for the respondent that petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is not maintainable, as earlier the revision petition before the Additional Sessions Judge was filed by the respondents.

Even otherwise, it is submitted that the petitioner has not been paid anything towards maintenance to his wife.

Dismissed.

Counsel for the respondent No.2 has pointed out that an amount of Rs.10,000/- as litigation expenses was ordered to be deposited in the Registry. The same be ordered to be disbursed to the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE**

JULY 27, 2015

Naveen