

**CRM-M-2374-2015**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2374-2015**

**Date of Decision:26.03.2015**

Rattan Lal

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.P.Sharma, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

**Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)**

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.90 dated 06.03.2014, registered at Police Station Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh, under Sections 420 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

There are allegations against the petitioner, who is Naib Tehsildar that he has intentionally mentioned wrong khasra numbers

**CRM-M-2374-2015**

which were not matter in issue in the proceedings under Section 145 Cr.P.C. Vide order dated 05.04.2013, Sub Divisional Magistrate, Mohindergarh appointed the petitioner as receiver, but instead of discharging his duties with due diligence, the petitioner had wrongly mentioned khasra no.83//11/2, 12/2, 13/2, 14/2, 15/2, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 which were not involved in the proceedings under Section 145 Cr.P.C. Due to this reason, the mustard crop standing in the aforesaid khasra number got reaped. The produce of mustard crop reaped from the aforesaid khasra numbers has been handed over to Surender Kumar, co-accused through superdar Girwar Singh. Besides this, there is allegation that the petitioner has done the aforesaid act after getting the bribe of Rs.1 lakh from the accused.

In these circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, therefore, no ground for indulgence of this Court is made out.

Dismissed.

Nothing observed herein shall amount to an observation on the merits of the case.

**26.03.2015**  
parveen kumar

**(Paramjeet Singh)**  
**Judge**