

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-236 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.08.2015**

Tarsem Lal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 165 dated 17.12.2014, registered under Sections 420/120-B IPC at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar.

Heard.

On the very first hearing, the petitioner side had stated that apart from merits, the petitioner is ready to pay entire outstanding amount along with whatever damages/interest the Court may deem fit. On this, the notice had been issued and the arrest of the petitioner was stayed by the co-ordinate Bench on 07.01.2015.

The case was adjourned 2 – 3 times and on 20th March, 2015 the counsel for the petitioner had sought four weeks' time to

pay the amount, the interim order was continued and the case was adjourned to 23.04.2015 to enable the petitioner to comply with the offer made.

The amount was not paid.

On 18.05.2015, the counsel for the petitioner had stated that as per the instructions received by him, the petitioner had paid Rs.91,000/- more and he was ready to give 10 marlas of land to the complainant. Counsel for respondent no.2 stated that as per his instructions, no amount had been paid. On this, both the parties were directed to appear in the Court. The petitioner failed to appear today. The complainant side had stated that the amount had not been paid.

The allegation against the petitioner are that he was not the owner of the property and had agreed to sell the land and had cheated the complainant and had received Rs.5,70,000/-. The complaint given to the police was enquired by the Economic Offence Wing and thereafter, the FIR was registered.

The allegations are serious. The petitioner has been seeking time for payment and even after 7 months no amount has been paid. No case for anticipatory bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

03.08.2015
Sunil