

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.23564 of 2015**

Date of decision: 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2015

Inderjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. J.S. Bhandohal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab  
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Report dated 19.08.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup>

Class, Ludhiana has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Sukhjit Singh and the accused/petitioners namely Inderjit Singh, Tejinder Singh, Balwinder Singh, Amrik Singh, Jhalman Singh, Lachhman Singh, Gurdip Singh, Mehar Singh, Amar Singh son of Wadhawa Singh, Rachhpal Singh, Nahar Singh, Amar Singh son of Tulsi Ram and Tarsem Lal, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of

free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure. It has been further brought to the notice of this Court that the present FIR was registered against 17 persons out of whom four persons namely Pushpinder Singh, Sarwan Kumar, Sher Singh and Avtar Singh have died and proceedings qua them already stand abated.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, the fact that parties belong to the same very village and the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.251 dated 24.06.1999 registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana under Sections 447/336/506/427/148/149 IPC and Section 25/54/59 if the Arms Act and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the present petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 3, 2015**

*rps*