

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-23628 of 2014

Date of decision: 26.02.2015

Raj Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Kehar Singh Hissowal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG Punjab
Mr.Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate for respondent No.2

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 51 dated 4.7.2013 under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, Patiala (Annexure P1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitionr is the uncle of the husband of the complainant and that he has been falsely involved. He is living separately from his nephew (husband of the complainant).

On the other hand, Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurjeet Singh states that there are specific allegations against the petitioner.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been

named in the statement of the witnesses, the mere fact that the petitioner is uncle and living separately, is no ground to quash the FIR.

Let the matter be decided on merits.

The petition is dismissed.

26.02.2015

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**