

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R.M-M No.23534-2015

Date of Decision : 20.11.2015

Maninder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. P.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 22.07.2015 the following order was passed :-

“This is a petition under section 438 Cr.P.C seeking the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.155 dated 18.6.2015 under Sections 312, 315, 316, 109, 120-B I.P.C and section 15(2) of the Indian Medical Council Act, registered at Police Station, City Barnala, District Barnala.

Counsel would contend that the clinic in question is being run by the husband of the present petitioner and who is a practicing doctor. It is further submitted that husband of the petitioner has since been arrested. In so far as the present petitioner is concerned, counsel would submit that she is a

housewife and has nothing to do in so far as the allegations contained in the present F.I.R.

Notice of motion, returnable for 20.11.2015.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and she shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned Additional Advocate General, on instructions from Head Constable Gurmeet Singh accepts the fact that the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has been released on interim bail.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed. The interim order dated 22.07.2015 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

20.11.2015

Pooja sharma-I