

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23533-2015
Date of decision:22.7.2015
...Petitioner

Harjinder @ Jinder

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Navneet Nain Narwana, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.367 dated 13.6.2015 registered under Sections 15, 61 and 85 of the NDPS Act, at Police Station, Sadar, Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that wife of the petitioner contested the panchayat election because of which there was political rivalry. Since the petitioner was also intending to contest the coming panchayat election, he has been roped in the instant case, at the instance of rival group in the village. He prays for allowing the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner at considerable length, after careful perusal of the record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the contentions raised, this Court is of the considered opinion that keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, petitioner has not been found entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail. It is so said, because when a pointed question was put to the learned counsel for the petitioner whether any of the police officials was inimical to the petitioner, he had no answer and rightly so, it being a matter of record.

In view of the above and without commenting anything further on merits, at this stage, this Court is of the considered view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be a compulsive necessity of the investigating agency so as to carry out an effective investigation. No case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

22.7.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE