

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23511 of 2015.

Date of Decision: 30.07.2015.

Sunny Kanda @ Bugha

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 136, dated 28.05.2015, under Sections 307, 323, 452, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Punjab).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that neither there

is allegations in the FIR against the petitioner nor any motive has been given for causing injury to the complainant. The matter has been reported after delay of one day of the occurrence.

I have considered the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner.

There are serious allegations against the petitioner that he tried to strangle the victim by putting a Chunni on her neck. Besides this, he had given fist blows on the nose and face of the victim and caused injuries on other parts of her body. Even perusal of the MLR clearly indicates that she was having difficulty in swallowing the things and there were pains in all the sides of her neck. Besides this, the petitioner has caused injury by entering into the house of victim.

Under these circumstances, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

July 30, 2015.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE