

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-23483 of 2015
Date of Decision: July 21, 2015

Hardyal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for directing respondent nos. 2 and 3 to complete the inquiry pending with them on application No. 1701-Peshi dated 31.05.2014 and to implement the compromise dated 05.04.2014 recorded between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner and others have already moved an application dated 31.05.2014 (Annexure P/4) to respondent no.2 – Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar and the same is pending before the concerned authority. Learned counsel further contends that direction may be issued to respondent nos. 2 and 3 to inquire into the matter.

I have considered the contentions raised by learned counsel

for the petitioner and perused the record.

Perusal of Annexure P-8 on record shows that the authorities are looking into the alleged application. The question of implementation of compromise, at all, does not arise because this can only be enforced through the civil Court in accordance with law.

In view of above, the instant petition is dismissed.

July 21, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge