

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.23368 of 2015
Date of Decision: 20.07.2015

Mandeep Kaur and anr.

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Bharadwaj, Advocate
for respondents Nos.4 to 6.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 16.07.2015. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to marriage certificate and photographs (Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively). Petitioners feel reasonable apprehension at the hands

of private respondents, who were opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present petition, petitioners have already filed a representation before Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, District Amritsar-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-5), but no action has been taken so far.

Father of the petitioner No.1 has shown his apprehension that the girl may not be dragged to vagaries and destitution after sometime as the boy/petitioner No.2 is not doing any work.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that boy is earning 70,000/- per month from his business of a car service station. Petitioner No.2 is ready to deposit an amount of Rs.5 lacs in the name of petitioner No.1 in the shape of fixed deposit in some nationalised bank. Amount be deposited and proof thereof be sent to respondent No.4.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of father of petitioner no.1 states that private respondents No.4 to 6 have nothing to do with the marriage of petitioners and there cannot be any threat on their behalf qua person and property of the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Varun Sharma, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the validity

of marriage, Superintendent of Police, Rural, District Amritsar-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-5) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

July 20, 2015
SwarnjitS

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE