

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23343-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.07.2015

Nirmal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Manraj.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

This is the second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.93 dated 07.08.2013, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Bilga, Jalandhar City.

It is contended that the petitioner is in custody since 01.09.2013. It is a magisterial trial. The trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is involved in another FIR under the similar sections. Out of seventeen prosecution witnesses,

ATUL SETHI
2015.07.28 18:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

three stand already examined.

Heard.

Considering the undergone period and the fact that only three witnesses out of total seventeen have been examined, therefore, the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future and the petitioner cannot be kept in incarceration for an indefinite period.

In this view of the matter, without adverting to the merits of the present case, this petition is allowed.

The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

28.07.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE