

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-23292-2015
Date of decision: 20.7.2015

Sandeep ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in a case registered against the petitioner under Sections 387, 398, 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Tohana, District Fatehabad, vide FIR No.164 dated 16.5.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no role is attributed to the petitioner. He has been arrayed as an accused on the basis of suspicion. He, thus, deserves to be granted concession of pre-arrest bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

FIR was lodged on the statement of Arun. He alleged that on 15.5.2015 at around 6.30 P.M., he was sitting on the liquor vend in the village. At that time, 15/20 young boys came on the motor cycles and a motor car. They were armed with sharp edged weapons. They threatened

the complainant and stated that in case he wants to run a liquor vend in the area, he has to part with Rs.51,000/- per month. Both were identified as Nath and Murari. They stated that they had been sent by Sandeep (petitioner herein) to extend this threat. Complainant had to close the liquor vend as accused were about to remove the articles from the premises. During investigation, two accused namely, Kailash and Vikram were arrested. They disclosed the involvement of the petitioner. According to order passed by the trial court, accused Sandeep is also involved in four other cases, one under section 307 and 302 IPC.

In view of above, I am of the view that petitioner is entitled to concession of pre-arrest bail. His custodial interrogation is necessary for taking the investigation to its logical end.

Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

20.7.2015
'Rajpal'