

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23280 of 2015
Date of Decision:20.7.2015**

Sunita Devi

--Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana

--Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Arun Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.259 dated 15.5.2015 under Section 20 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, Bahardurgarh, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner as well as her husband have been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that husband of the petitioner was arrested at the spot. Petitioner had no role to play in the present case. He concluded by submitting that since no case under Section 20 of the NDPS Act is made out against the petitioner, she deserves the concession of anticipatory bail. He prays for allowing the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner at considerable length, after careful perusal of the record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the arguments advanced, this Court is of the considered opinion that in the given fact situation of the present case, petitioner has not been found entitled for concession of anticipatory bail. It is so said, because allegations against the petitioner are direct and specific.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

20.7.2015
AK Sharma