

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-23339 of 2014

Date of Decision: September 19, 2015

Ajay Gautam and anr.

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.S.K. Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.S.K.Bawa, Advocate, for complainant.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek the concession of pre-arrest bail in a case registered at the instance of father-in-law of petitioner No.1 alleging that the petitioners have connived together to harass the daughter of the complainant for demand of dowry.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has been amicably resolved before the Counseling Centre, Family Court, Shahdra,

Delhi on March 7, 2015. Parties had also agreed to seek quashing of the FIR on payment of sum of Rs.4 lacs by petitioner No.1 to his wife.

The wife has not put in appearance before this Court through counsel though she was being represented by a counsel earlier before the Mediation Centre as well as before this Court.

In view of the compromise referred to by the counsel for the petitioners, this petition is allowed and it is ordered that in case of arrest of the petitioners, they will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the following conditions:-

- i) the petitioners will ensure the compliance of the performance of their part of the settlement by paying the requisite amount as mentioned in the settlement deed dated March 7, 2015 as agreed to;
- ii) they will join investigation as and when required by the police; and
- iii) that they will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the investigation in any manner.

In case of non-compliance of the terms of the compromise, it will be open to the complainant or the State to seek the cancellation of the bail.

September 19, 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE