

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

250

CRM-M-23254-2015

Date of decision: 31.7.2105

TARSEM SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Amit Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Arshdeep S.Kler, AAG, Punjab.

Ms.Paramjit Kaur Deol, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 142 dated 18.6.2015 under Sections 354, 323, 506, 34 IPC (Section 354-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Tanda District Hoshiarpur.

Petitioner is father-in-law of the complainant. Complainant alleged that her husband Kamaljeet Singh had gone abroad in March, 2015 and she was harassed in the absence of her husband. On 10.6.2015, mother-in-law of the complainant was away from home. When she was ironing the clothes, father-in-law (petitioner) came and pulled down her *pajami*. She came out of the room. In the

meanwhile, her mother-in-law also reached there. Both threatened

her and gave beatings as well. *Massi* and *Massar* of the complainant, who are neighbours, came and rescued her. She was medico legally examined and injuries were found on her person. Matter was reported to police but ultimately action was delayed as complainant wanted to take consent of her husband. Ultimately, FIR came to be registered on 18.6.2015. As against this, petitioner has projected a case that on 10.6.2015 itself *Massar* of the complainant tried to outrage modesty of the wife of petitioner and matter was ultimately reported to the police on 12.6.2015. Again matter was allegedly reported to the police in respect of theft committed by the complainant on 16.6.2015.

On pointed query, learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Balwinder Singh, states that there is no such complaint available in police file.

Keeping in view the allegations, it is not a fit case where indulgence of this Court can be granted by protecting the petitioner by means of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

July 31, 2015
anita