

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23209 of 2015

Date of decision: September 01, 2015

Sarabjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Lovkesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.41 dated 23.03.2015, under Sections 399/402 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Maqsudan Jalandhar, District Jalandhar City.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 20.07.2015:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. In fact, case was registered on secret information that petitioner along with some other persons were making plan of looting bank as well as petrol pump and if raid is conducted, they could be apprehended by the police but the accused person fled away from the spot. Nothing has also been recovered from the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 01.09.2015.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on prearrest bail at the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the following conditions, as envisaged under section 438 (2) Cr.P.C:

- (i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.”*

Learned State counsel who is assisted by Assistant Sub Inspector Ajit Singh has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation. On a query put by this Court, learned State counsel has further submitted that petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

Accordingly, interim bail granted to the petitioner by this Court vide order dated 20.07.2015, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

September 01, 2015
mahavir