IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-23286 of 2014
Date of Decision:- 05.01.2015

Sonia

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana
for the State.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

****

MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR , J.(oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.2 to 4 has stated that the petitioner has already been granted the concession of regular bail in this case.

In this view of the matter, once the petitioner was allowed regular bail, then the petition for cancellation of anticipatory bail become infructuous, which is hereby dismissed as such, as prayed for.

January 05, 2015
naresh.k

(**M**EHINDER **S**INGH **S**ULLAR)
JUDGE

NARESH KUMAR
2011.02.11 13:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh