

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 23269 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision : 12.02.2015

Jagdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.PBS Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Garg, AAG, Punjab.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CRM-3686-2015

CM allowed. Documents i.e.statements Annexures P-4 nd P-5
taken on record.

CRM-M No. 23269 of 2014

The following order was passed on 04.12.2014:-

“On 29.08.2014 the following order was passed:-

“ This is a petition for regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that this is a blind murder case. Two years have elapsed but only two witnesses have been examined and the two main witnesses have not yet been examined. Learned Addl.A.G., on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, states that two main witnesses Lovepreet Singh and Satbir Singh will be examined within three months and prays that the prayer for bail be considered after that.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the two main

witnesses have not yet been examined.

Learned Additional Advocate General on instructions from S.I.Hardeep Singh states that the two main witnesses were present in Court on the last date but were not examined due to the circumstances beyond the control of the prosecution and the now the matter has been fixed for 18.12.2012 and undertakes to produce them on the said date.

*In the circumstances, the trial Court is directed to examine the said two witnesses on or before the next date so that the bail application of the petitioner can be considered.
To come up on 12.01.2015.”*

Today learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that two main witnesses of the prosecution namely Lovepreet Singh and Satbir Singh appeared before the Court and as regards the petitioner they did not support the version of the prosecution. He has annexed their statements Annexures P-4 and P-5.

Learned AAG, on perusal of the statements and on instructions from SI Harjeet Singh, has accepted this factual assertion.

In this view of the matter, without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for 2½ years, I do not deem it appropriate to deny him the concession of bail. Let him be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

November 18, 2014

sunita