

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-27899 of 2010 (O&M)
Date of decision: September 19, 2014

Surinder Kaur & others ...Petitioners

Versus

Kulwinder Kaur ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Parvez Akhtar, Advocate for
Mohd. Yousuf, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the respondent.

Rajan Gupta, J.

In this petition, petitioners have challenged complaint (Annexure P-3) and summoning order (Annexure P-4), whereby they have been summoned to face trial for offences under sections 323, 427, 452, 354, 356, 506 read with Section 34 IPC.

Complainant preferred a complaint before Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar. She stated that on 7.9.2008 at about 8.00 P.M. she was sitting in her house alongwith her son, when somebody knocked at the door. On opening the same, she found the accused standing outside. They openly started threatening the complainant and demanded Rs.5.00 lacs. As she refused to pay, they assaulted the complainant and damaged household articles. Gold chain of the complainant was also snatched by accused Kuldeep Singh. In support of her case, she stepped into witness-box as CW-1 and examined Sulinder

Singh as CW-2. On finding that complainant had made out a prima facie case, accused were summoned to face trial vide order dated 2.7.2009. Aggrieved, present petition has been filed.

On due consideration of the matter, I am of the view that no interference in the impugned order is called for. Same has been passed by the trial court after recording its satisfaction that a case was made out on the basis of preliminary evidence. Counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any legal infirmity with the order passed.

No merit. Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

September 19, 2014
'Rajpal'