

CRM-M-23059-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23059-2015

Date of Decision: 16.07.2015

Harpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from respondent Nos.4 to 6. The petitioners assert that they are major and as there is no legal impediment, they have got married. They have already moved representation dated 14.07.2015 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, for protecting their life and liberty.

Respondent Nos.5 and 6 have appeared in Court. They have been allowed to meet petitioner No.1 in the Chamber. They state that now they have no concern with petitioner No.1. They also state that the

CRM-M-23059-2015

petitioners have taken away some golden ornaments and other articles belonging to these respondents.

As far as golden ornaments etc. are concerned, respondent Nos.5 and 6 will be at liberty to proceed against the petitioners in accordance with law.

Instant petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach concerned police authorities for protection, as per instructions dated 10.08.2010 issued by the Home Department, Punjab, in this regard. Police authorities shall verify the facts. If required, petitioners will be provided adequate security.

In the meantime, petitioners shall not be arrested in this case. However, this will not prevent the concerned police authorities to proceed against the petitioners in accordance with law, if they are found involved in any other case. The police authorities shall also verify the facts with regard to age and antecedents of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order is qua protection only and shall not be construed that the petitioners have contracted legal marriage. They have to prove legality of their marriage in appropriate proceedings.

16.07.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge