

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

114

CRM-M-22942-2015

Date of decision: 16.7.2015

DEEPTI KASHYAP

.....Petitioner

Versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Petitioner seeks protection qua her life and liberty on the ground that she has moved a complaint against her husband to the police. Now the petitioner is being harassed and pressurised by the private respondents to withdraw the complaint in question.

Precisely, on the aforesaid grievance, petitioner has already filed a representation before respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to U.T.Administration.

On the asking of Court, Ms.Ashima Mor, Advocate for U.T.Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let a copy of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply.

Respondent No.2 is directed to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioner and may devise his own mechanism to extract truth in the allegations. If the representation of the petitioner is found to be having worth, then necessary orders in the context of providing protection qua her life and liberty be passed in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

July 16, 2015
anita